

Name:

Postal Address:

Signature:

Email address:

My response to the Elmbridge Borough Council (EBC) Local Plan Consultation is as follows:

1. The key challenges for Elmbridge as set out in the Consultation Paper are the key ones. Please indicate if any of the following statements are more important to you:
 - To retain the quality of life for all residents in Elmbridge.*
 - To address infrastructure requirements for Schools, Doctors, transport congestion etc.*
 - To maintain strong protections for the Green Belt and avoid urban sprawl.*
 - To maintain the environment and avoid further pollution.*
 - To take account of the value of green spaces for the recreation of local people.*

2. EBC prefers Option 2, to build on 'Weakly Performing Green Belt areas' as identified. Please indicate if any of the following statements outweigh Option 2:
 - New housing is not an Exceptional Circumstance to allow Green Belt removal.*
 - A proper detailed assessment of brownfield sites should be the first priority.*
 - Increased urbanisation of the more major urban areas in the borough would be better.*
 - EBC has not demonstrated any exploration with neighbouring boroughs.*

3. The Key Strategic Areas have been clearly and accurately explained in the Consultation. Please indicate if you agree with any of the following statements:
 - The Green Belt Review (ARUP) report fails to set out the process clearly and fairly.*
 - The Green Belt Review (ARUP) Purpose 3 assessments, incorrectly score Parcel 14 and Parcel 20 too low.*
 - Parcel 14 and Parcel 20 would not be identified as 'Weakly Performing' if correctly scored.*
 - Planning constraints (infrastructure, traffic, etc.) make Chippings Farm unsuited for development.*

4. If Parcel 20 (Chippings Farm/The Fairmile) were released from Green Belt designation, with which of the following statements do you agree?
 - It is unlikely that any more affordable housing would be available for local residents.*
 - Traffic congestion on local roads would be a problem.*
 - Local infrastructure is already under pressure; Option 2 would make it worse.*
 - Parcel 20 is too far from the centre for sustainable development.*

5. EBC suggests 9,480 homes should be needed in Elmbridge by 2035, a net 5,780 new homes on previously undeveloped land. To what extent does the Consultation Paper clearly identify how many homes are needed in and around the 'three key strategic areas' in and around Cobham?
 - The Cobham area needs more housing, but the Consultation paper is not clear on what type or where.*
 - The Strategic Areas would not necessarily release the land for necessary and desirable development.*
 - The Consultation is unclear on how many homes would be delivered by removing Green Belt status.*
 - Until the nature of potential development is known it is not possible to assess relative priorities.*